



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,061	02/12/2007	Hisashi Ohashi	SON-3206	6470
23353 7590 08/12/2010 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				
EXAMINER				
SONG, DAHEHO				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2175				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/12/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/593,061	Applicant(s) OHASHI, HISASHI	
	Examiner DAEHO D. SONG	Art Unit 2175	

All Participants:

(1) DAEHO D. SONG.

(2) MAULIN PATEL.

Date of Interview: 9 August 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112, 2ND

Claims discussed:
1, 6 AND 12

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Time: 3PM

/Boris Pesin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174
/Daeho D Song/
Examiner, Art Unit 2175

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed a number of issues within claimed invention which merit more detailed attention with suggestions, such as one key is supposed to be assigned to one function, which is from a paired function, according to the description of the specification of instant application. Examiner will consider Applicant's argument/amendment pursuant to a further action.